


LIFE IN 2030 – IF WE LET THE WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM HAVE ITS WAY

On November 10, 2016, more than three years before the outbreak of the 2020 pandemic, a so-called ‘World Economic Forum Young Global Leader’ by the name of Ida Auken, wrote an article about how the WEF envisages life in 2030. The title of the article is *Welcome To 2030: I Own Nothing, Have No Privacy And Life Has Never Been Better*.

The article in fact expands on the slogan ‘You’ll own nothing and you’ll be happy’, that has meanwhile become a famous World Economic Forum slogan – please note that also the picture below also dates from November 2016:



The link on this picture, wef.ch/2gmBN7M, brings us to a WEF webpage, entitled **8 predictions for the world in 2030**, from November 12, 2016, which is two days after the publishing of Ida Auken’s article: https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2016/11/8-predictions-for-the-world-in-2030/?utm_content=bufferdda7f&utm_medium=social&utm_source=facebook.com&utm_campaign=buffer,

The first of these predictions indeed refers to Auken’s article. Quote:

All products will have become services. “I don't own anything. I don't own a car. I don't own a house. I don't own any appliances or any clothes,” writes Danish MP Ida Auken. Shopping is a distant memory in the city of 2030, whose inhabitants have cracked clean energy and borrow what they need on demand. It sounds utopian, until she mentions that her every move is tracked and outside the city live swathes of discontents, the ultimate depiction of a society split in two.

The opening words, *All products will have become services*, have been made into a link, in all probability meant to direct the reader to Auken’s article. The link is currently broken, but the article is

still available here: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/worldeconomicforum/2016/11/10/shopping-i-cant-really-remember-what-that-is-or-how-differently-well-live-in-2030/?sh=c6f354617350>.

On the surface, this article describes a near paradise-like future for humanity. However, there are a few things here and there, that seem a little odd in this context. Here follows the full text of the article, whereby I highlighted these slightly awkward sections:

LEADERSHIP STRATEGY

Welcome To 2030: I Own Nothing, Have No Privacy And Life Has Never Been Better

Nov 10, 2016, 04:26am EST, by Ida Auken, World Economic Forum Contributor

Welcome to the year 2030. Welcome to my city – or should I say, "our city." *I don't own anything. I don't own a car. I don't own a house. I don't own any appliances or any clothes.*

It might seem odd to you, but it makes perfect sense for us in this city. Everything you considered a product, has now become a service. We have access to transportation, accommodation, food and all the things we need in our daily lives. One by one all these things became free, so it ended up not making sense for us to own much.

First communication became digitized and free to everyone. Then, when clean energy became free, things started to move quickly. Transportation dropped dramatically in price. It made no sense for us to own cars anymore, because we could call a driverless vehicle or a flying car for longer journeys within minutes. We started transporting ourselves in a much more organized and coordinated way when public transport became easier, quicker and more convenient than the car. Now I can hardly believe that we accepted congestion and traffic jams, not to mention the air pollution from combustion engines. What were we thinking?

Sometimes I use my bike when I go to see some of my friends. I enjoy the exercise and the ride. It kind of gets the soul to come along on the journey. Funny how some things seem never seem to lose their excitement: walking, biking, cooking, drawing and growing plants. It makes perfect sense and reminds us of how our culture emerged out of a close relationship with nature.

In our city we don't pay any rent, *because someone else is using our free space whenever we do not need it. My living room is used for business meetings when I am not there.*

Once in a while, I will choose to cook for myself. It is easy – the necessary kitchen equipment is delivered at my door within minutes. Since transport became free, we stopped having all those things stuffed into our home. Why keep a pasta-maker and a crepe cooker crammed into our cupboards? We can just order them when we need them.

This also made the breakthrough of the circular economy easier. When products are turned into services, no one has an interest in things with a short life span. Everything is designed for durability, repairability and recyclability. The materials are flowing more quickly in our economy and can be transformed to new products pretty easily. Environmental problems seem far away, since we only use clean energy and clean production methods. The air is clean, the water is clean and nobody would dare to touch the protected areas of nature because they constitute such value to our well-being. In the cities we have plenty of green space and plants and trees all over. I still do not understand why in the past we filled all free spots in the city with concrete.

Shopping? I can't really remember what that is. For most of us, it has been turned into choosing things to use. Sometimes I find this fun, and *sometimes I just want the algorithm to do it for me. It knows my taste better than I do* by now.

When AI and robots took over so much of our work, we suddenly had time to eat well, sleep well and spend time with other people. The concept of rush hour makes no sense anymore, since the work that we do can be done at any time. I don't really know if I would call it work anymore. It is more like thinking-time, creation-time and development-time.

For a while, everything was turned into entertainment and people did not want to bother themselves with difficult issues. It was only at the last minute that we found out how to use all these new technologies for better purposes than just killing time.

My biggest concern is all the people who do not live in our city. Those we lost on the way. Those who decided that it became too much, all this technology. Those who felt obsolete and useless when robots and AI took over big parts of our jobs. Those who got upset with the political system and turned against it. They live different kind of lives outside of the city. Some have formed little self-supplying communities. Others just stayed in the empty and abandoned houses in small 19th century villages.

Once in a while I get annoyed about the fact that I have no real privacy. Nowhere I can go and not be registered. I know that, somewhere, everything I do, think and dream of is recorded. I just hope that nobody will use it against me.

All in all, it is a good life. Much better than the path we were on, where it became so clear that we could not continue with the same model of growth. We had all these terrible things happening: lifestyle diseases, climate change, the refugee crisis, environmental degradation, completely congested cities, water pollution, air pollution, social unrest and unemployment. We lost way too many people before we realized that we could do things differently.

This blog was written ahead of the World Economic Forum Annual Meeting of the Global Future Councils.

Ida Auken is a Young Global Leader and Member of the Global Future Council on Cities and Urbanization of the World Economic Forum.

World Economic Forum

We are the International Organization for Public-Private Cooperation and engage the foremost political, business and other leaders of society to shape global, regional and industry agendas. On this account, we publish insights and analyses from our network of constituents on leadership, business and finance. We were established in 1971 as a not-for-profit Foundation and is headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland. It is independent, impartial and not tied to any special interests, working in close cooperation with the world's major international organisations. We connect leaders of business and government through research, high-level meetings, task forces and digital networks. Our communities are committed to finding solutions to the world's most difficult challenges.

When we start looking a bit more underneath the surface, this article, in spite of the beautifully sounding words, actually describes a world in which hardly anyone of us will want to live. It will be a world with total control over each and every aspect of our lives and with total surveillance. For instance, – speaking about surveillance and about going underneath the surface – here one can hear WEF spokesman Yuval Harari speaking about humans as ‘hackable animals’ and about ‘surveillance under the skin’, i.e. an implanted device: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5RbjycHTU>. Here is part of the remarkable statements that Harari makes in this video:

'Previously, surveillance was mainly above the skin. Now it's going under the skin.' (...) 'I think, maybe in a couple of decades, when people look back, the thing they will remember from the Covid crisis is: this is the moment when everything went digital. And this was the moment when everything became monitored; that we agreed to be surveyed, all the time. Not just in totalitarian regimes, but even in democracies. And, maybe most importantly of all, this was the moment that surveillance started going under the skin.'

And in this video, he goes even further, by stating that we will have to get used to the idea of being 'hackable animals': https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dcg2_oOwLhA. Here is a transcript of what he states in this video:

'Many tyrants and governments wanted to do it, but nobody understood biology well enough. And nobody had enough computing power and data to hack millions of people. Neither the Gestapo nor the KGB could do it. But soon, at least some corporations and governments will be able to systematically hack all the people. We humans should get used to the idea that we are no longer mysterious souls. We are now hackable animals.'

In this video, an excerpt from an interview with Charlie Rose in 2015(!), Klaus Schwab, Founder and Executive Chairman of the World Economic Forum, goes into more detail about how humans will become 'hackable': <https://rumble.com/v1gonfd-klaus-schwab-.html>. This is his opening sentence:

'And, you see, the difference of this fourth industrial revolution is, it doesn't change what you are doing, it changes you, if you take a genetic editing.'

And on this WEF webpage, Klaus Schwab states: *The pandemic represents a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine, and reset our world:*

<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/06/now-is-the-time-for-a-great-reset/>



... and *'a unique opportunity to reset our global agenda'*:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HRLw6trwXco>. A quote from this video:

'Covid-19, if anything, has accelerated this ongoing industrial revolution. If Covid had happened even ten years ago, we could not have imagined moving entire companies, schools, government offices, so fast online. Today, that is a reality. The fourth industrial revolution has become a reality.'

On top of this, here one can hear Schwab proudly boasting about the WEF having penetrated cabinets all over the world: <https://theamericanreport.org/2022/01/30/klaus-schwab-brags-that-trudeau-merkel-putin-are-former-world-economic-forum-young-global-leaders-we-penetrate-the-cabinets/>.

During a 2017 appearance at Harvard's John F. Kennedy School of Government, during an interview with David Gergen, he said the following:

'I have to say when I mention names like Mrs Merkel, even Vladimir Putin and so on, they have all been Young Global Leaders of the World Economic Forum, but what we're really proud of now is the young generation like Prime Minister Trudeau, the President of Argentina and so on. So we penetrate the cabinets. So yesterday I was at a reception for Prime Minister Trudeau, and I know that half of his cabinet, or even more than half, are Young Global Leaders of the World Economic Forum.'

Indeed this is also the case in the Netherlands. In December, 2021, the Dutch cabinet was forced to confess that it had reached 'legally binding agreements' with the World Economic Forum: <https://www.dagelijksestandaard.nl/politiek/kabinet-geeft-toe-aan-fvd-ja-wij-sluiten-juridisch-bindende-overeenkomsten-met-het-world-economic-forum>. Here are two pages from a document in which the Dutch Government provides answers to questions, prepared by the party 'Forum for Democracy' :

AH 1123
2021Z18073

Antwoord van minister Schouten (Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit), mede namens de minister-president en de minister voor Buitenlandse Handel en Ontwikkelingssamenwerking (ontvangen 15 december 2021)

Zie ook Aanhangsel Handelingen, vergaderjaar 2021-2022, nr. 475

1

Beschouwt u het World Economic Forum (hierna: WEF) als een entiteit met enig gezag? Zo ja, waarom? Zo nee, hoe beschouwt u het WEF dan wel? Erkent u dat het WEF op geen enkele wijze democratisch gelegitimeerd is?

Antwoord

Het World Economic Forum is een organisatie die perspectieven uit de wetenschap, de publieke en de private sector bij elkaar brengt. Het WEF is geen democratisch gekozen entiteit.

2

Hoe zien de onderlinge verhoudingen of samenwerkingen tussen de Nederlandse staat en het WEF (op welk gebied en in welke vorm dan ook) er precies uit? Kunt u een overzicht geven van alle overeenkomsten (mondeling en schriftelijk) die er bestaan tussen (vertegenwoordigers van) de Nederlandse staat en (vertegenwoordigers van) het WEF? In hoeverre zijn de gemaakte afspraken (juridisch) bindend?

Antwoord

Nederlandse bewindspersonen nemen regelmatig op uitnodiging deel aan door het WEF georganiseerde bijeenkomsten. Daarnaast is sprake van samenwerkingsverbanden met het WEF die zijn vastgelegd in overeenkomsten op de volgende terreinen:

- Sustainable Investment Policy
- Tropical Forest Alliance
- Food Systems Initiative
- Food Innovation Hubs

De in deze overeenkomsten vastgelegde verplichtingen zijn juridisch bindend.

3

Kunt u zich herinneren dat u tijdens de bijeenkomst van het WEF op 27 januari jl. uw steun heeft uitgesproken voor het plan van het WEF om een "wereldwijde voedseltransitie" te realiseren?¹

Antwoord

Er is bij het kabinet geen plan van het WEF voor een wereldwijde voedseltransitie bekend.

4

Wat zijn de verwachte gevolgen van de beoogde wereldwijde voedseltransitie voor de voedselvoorziening in Nederland? Wat zijn de verwachte gevolgen voor onze agrarische sector?

Antwoord

De Nederlandse agrifood, tuinbouw en veredeling sectoren zijn wereldspelers als het gaat om kennis en technieken voor het duurzaam produceren en verwerken van voedsel. Die kennis en innovatie gaat al de wereld over, denk bijvoorbeeld aan het bedrijf East-West Seed van Simon Groot dat miljoenen kleine boeren voorziet van goed zaaigoed. Met FoodValley als Europese Food Innovation Hub en het Global Coordinating Secretariat in Nederland kunnen we die kennis en kunde nog veel meer delen met de wereld. Daarmee blijft de Nederlandse agrarische sector van groot belang voor mondiale voedselzekerheid.

5

Kan het plan voor een wereldwijde voedseltransitie tot gevolg hebben dat Nederland direct of indirect in enige mate controle verliest over de eigen voedselvoorziening? Zo ja, op welke wijze? Zo nee, hoe kunt u dat uitsluiten?

Antwoord

¹ Youtubekanaal van het World Economic Forum 27 januari 2021, «Transforming Food Systems and Land Use Part 1 | DAVOS AGENDA 2021», raadpleegbaar via <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2PcxeKOaNs4>.
.Rutte: «I'm particularly proud to announce that the Netherlands will host the Global Coordinating Secretariat of the World Economic Forum Food Innovation Hubs, which will connect all other Food Innovation Hubs. And I believe this is important because it will be facilitating the partnerships we need.» kv-tk-2021Z18073 's-Gravenhage 2021 Tw

Translation of items 1 and 2::

1. Do you consider the World Economic Forum (hereafter WEF) an entity with any authority? If so, why? If not, how do you regard the WEF? Do you recognise that the WEF is not democratically legitimised in any way?

Answer

The World Economic Forum is an organisation that brings together perspectives from science, the public and private sectors. *The WEF is not a democratically elected entity.*

2. What exactly are the mutual relationships or collaborations between the Dutch state and the WEF (in whatever area and form)? Can you provide an overview of all agreements (verbal and written) that exist between (representatives of) the Dutch state and (representatives of) the WEF? To what extent are the agreements made (legally) binding?

Answer

Dutch ministers regularly participate by invitation in meetings organised by the WEF. There are also cooperation agreements with the WEF which are laid down in agreements in the following areas:

- Sustainable Investment Policy
- Tropical Forest Alliance
- Food Systems Initiative
- Food Innovation Hubs

The commitments set out in these agreements are legally binding.

And as a final example of the power that the WEF appears to have over governments everywhere in the world, this was tweeted on October 15, 2022, about introducing a so-called *Known Traveler Digital ID* or KTID in Canada:



Indeed [bit.ly/3CvEbkn](https://leslynlewismp.ca/wp-content/uploads/sites/28/2022/10/Q-634-2022-09-20-Known-Traveller-Digital-ID.pdf) leads to the webpage <https://leslynlewismp.ca/wp-content/uploads/sites/28/2022/10/Q-634-2022-09-20-Known-Traveller-Digital-ID.pdf>. Here are a few excerpts from this PDF document:

'The Known Traveler Digital Identity (KTDI) Project was officially announced in January 2018.' (...)
'Budget 2021 proposed \$105.3 million over five years, starting in 2021-22, with \$28.7 million in remaining amortization and \$10.2 million per year ongoing to Transport Canada to collaborate with international partners to further advance the KTDI pilot project.'

technologies to be used included: distributed ledger technology; biometric technology; and cryptography.

Part (g) (i): Verifying travel documents and traveller identity is integral to aviation security as well as to service delivery. The current practice of manually verifying various pieces of traveller identification (passport and boarding pass) at multiple points throughout the air travel journey can be resource intensive, unsanitary, and subject to human error. The envisioned benefits to participating travelers depend on their ability to use touchless technologies in this project.

Part (g) (ii): Not applicable as the pilot was deferred due to the pandemic.

Part (h): Neither the Department nor any project partners have been warned of any risks related to participating in the pilot.

Part (i): This project is based on voluntary contributions from project partners. All project partners are responsible for their respective costs associated with participation. Project partners include: the Government of Canada, the Government of the Netherlands, Air Canada and Royal Dutch airlines, Toronto, Montreal, and Schiphol International Airport, and the World Economic Forum.

Transport Canada has to date spent \$428,671 on salaries and \$220,830 on non-salaries. With respect to non-salaries, the breakdown of the amount is as follows:

- o Travel costs: \$38,650
- o Informatics costs (IT consultants): \$177,351
- o Software licenses: \$7,902

Budget 2021 proposed **\$105.3 million over five years**, starting in 2021-22, with \$28.7 million in remaining amortization and \$10.2 million per year ongoing to Transport Canada to collaborate with international partners to further advance the KTDI pilot project.

Part (j): Not applicable as the pilot was deferred due to the pandemic. However, the proposed implementation and performance framework included metrics related to technical performance, traveller experience, and traveller processing time.

Part (k): Transport Canada undertook an extensive preliminary search in order to determine the amount of information that would fall within the scope of the question and the amount of time that would be required to prepare a comprehensive response. Transport Canada concluded that producing and validating a comprehensive response to this question is not possible in the time allotted and could lead to the disclosure of incomplete and misleading information.

As we have seen, Klaus Schwab himself has admitted that the outbreak of the Covid-19 pandemic couldn't have come at a better moment. There is indeed a plethora of indications that this outbreak may well have been planned. I will mention only a few of these:

- ***'Scenario LOCK STEP, a world of tighter top-down government control and more authoritarian leadership, with limited innovation and growing citizen pushback'*** (*sic!*), written in 2010 by the Rockefeller Foundation, is in fact a manual about how a pandemic can be used to take away rights of ordinary people and transfer these to governments. It can be read and downloaded here: (<https://archive.org/details/pdfy-tNG7MjZUicS-wiJb/mode/2up>), and here is a telling quote, taken from page 19:

'Even after the pandemic faded, this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities stuck and even intensified. In order to protect themselves from the spread of increasingly global problems — from pandemics and transnational terrorism to environmental crises and rising poverty — leaders around the world took a firmer grip on power.'

- Event 2(0)1, sponsored by the Bill and Melinda Foundation. Here is quote from the website <https://www.centerforhealthsecurity.org/our-work/exercises/event201/>:

'The Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security in partnership with the World Economic Forum and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation hosted Event 201, a high-level pandemic exercise on October 18, 2019(!), in New York, NY. The exercise illustrated areas where public/private partnerships will be necessary during the response to a severe pandemic in order to diminish large-scale economic and societal consequences.'

In this video, a sharp-witted woman calling herself 'Amazing Polly' summarizes the main topics that were discussed during this 'exercise': **Viral Marketing:** <https://www.bitchute.com/video/-727K585amo/>. Among other things, it was decided here, that the public would need to be 'flooded' with 'good information' (propaganda), that all 'disinformation' that contradicts this 'good information' would need to be censored and removed, and that the persons who would be spreading such undesirable information would be targeted. This video was uploaded on YouTube and this was the link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-727K585amo>. It soon fell prey to the censorship policy as outlined during the Event 2(0)1 conference, like countless other video and channels.

It is noteworthy that in the logo, the zero between the 2 and the 1 is in fact no zero, but a globe, with virus-like dots swarming all over the place:



'Event 201' thus becomes *Event 21*: the event that catapults 'Agenda 21' into manifestation; Agenda 21 being, in my view, synonymous to Agenda 30, *Build Back Better*, *Great Reset* or *New World Order*, all in fact being agendas toward a dystopian society, masked behind beautifully sounding words.

Part of this dystopian society is to change 'how money works', to use the phrasing in this very revealing and recommendable video about the Central Bank Digital Currency or CBDC, that is currently being steered toward with remarkable speed: **The dangers of Smart Money, CBDC and removing cash - Waking up to Cashless:** https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HQV_UXEIDig (in case it gets deleted on YouTube, it can also be watched here: **Johnny's Cash and The Smart Money Nightmare:** <https://rumble.com/v1mt6n8-max-igan-johnnys-cash-and-the-smart-money-nightmare.html>).

For those who are interested in the topic of what such a dystopian society will look like, here are some more links to articles that dive deeper into it:

<https://www.eduarddeboer.org/handleiding/> (Dutch) (early 2020)

<https://www.eduarddeboer.org/manual/> (English) (early 2020)

<https://www.eduarddeboer.org/overtuigende-documentaires-en-meer-links/> (Dutch) (early 2020)

<https://www.eduarddeboer.org/more-links-indicating-that-covid-19-was-planned-april-2021/> (English) (early 2021)

<https://www.eduarddeboer.org/implications-of-janine-smalls-confession/> (English) (October 2022)

However, this is a different topic, and there are many researchers who have dug deeper into this subject than I ever could. Like for instance Dr. David E. Martin, who in this video provides proofs that

'the virus was engineered and patented decades ago':
<https://www.bitchute.com/video/xWNF3dKU0kP5/>.

What will life in 2030 be like? I don't know, at this point. What I do know is that I will do my utmost to strive for a far more beautiful world than is described in Ida Auken's article. Not just for myself, but even more so for my children, and for everyone else's children and grandchildren.

Eduard de Boer, October 16, 2022